

Effect of radiation-like solid on CMB anisotropies

Vladimír Balek* and Matej Škovran[†]

Department of Theoretical Physics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

February 19, 2014

Abstract

The form of Sachs-Wolfe plateau in the presence of solid matter with $w = 1/3$ is investigated. In order that the plateau fits the flat spectrum within cosmic variance, the shear modulus to energy density ratio ξ must be close enough to zero, $|\xi| \lesssim 10^{-5}$.

1 Introduction

The observed acceleration of the universe is usually regarded as an effect of dark energy, identified either with null oscillations of quantum fields or with some kind of scalar field. An alternative explanation is that what accelerates the universe is a solid with negative parameter w (pressure to energy density ratio). The idea appeared shortly after the effect was discovered [1, 2], and the underlying theory was extensively studied afterwards [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Given the attention paid to scenarios in which the solid has negative w , it is natural to ask what difference would it make if the value of w of the solid was positive. This question was addressed in [13]. One of the two scenarios explored there was that in a universe filled with radiation there appeared a *radiation-like solid*, which had, in addition to $w = 1/3$, a constant shear modulus to energy ratio ξ . A possible materialization of such solid could be a Coulomb crystal with relativistic Fermi gas of moving charges; another, more speculative possibility could be a network of ‘spring-like’ strings with energy inversely proportional to length. In the latter case ξ would be negative, making the vector perturbations unstable. However, this would not necessarily make the theory useless, since in the process of inflation typically no vector perturbations are created.

In order to obtain an observable effect of the solid on long-wavelength perturbations, one must assume that it was created with flat internal geometry and nonzero shear stress acting in it. Such

*e-mail address: balek@fmph.uniba.sk

[†]e-mail address: skovran@fmph.uniba.sk

solidification cannot take place in pure radiation, whose particles move freely and hence the concept of shear deformation has no sense for them. It must be linked to some other kind of particles present in the universe, distributed anisotropically from the very start of Friedmann expansion. Such component of cosmic medium could perhaps be formed in solid inflation [9, 10, 11, 12].

In the paper we investigate how the radiation-like solid, if present in our universe, would manifest itself in the large-scale CMB anisotropies. In section 2 we describe how the long-wavelength perturbations evolve in the presence of the solid and determine their size at the time of recombination; in section 3 we derive a formula for temperature fluctuations observed at present on Earth and calculate the CMB power spectrum for small multipole moments; and in section 4 we discuss the results. A system of units is used in which $c = 16\pi G = 1$.

2 Evolution of perturbations

2.1 Pure solid

Consider a flat FRWL universe filled with an isotropic elastic matter and add a small perturbation of spacetime metric and distribution of matter to it. Following [14], we will use *proper-time comoving gauge* for the description of perturbations.; thus, we will assume that ϕ (the correction to the (00)-component of the metric tensor) as well as ξ (the shift vector of the matter) is zero. Denote the scale parameter by a , the mass density and pressure of the matter by ρ and p , the compressional modulus of the matter by K and the shear modulus of the matter by μ . The condition $\phi = 0$ means that the scalar part of the metric is

$$ds^{(S)2} = a^2[d\eta^2 + 2B_{,i}d\eta dx^i - (\delta_{ij} - 2\psi\delta_{ij} - 2E_{,ij})dx^i dx^j], \quad (1)$$

and from the condition $\xi = 0$ it follows that the scalar part of the energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_0^0 = \rho + \rho_+(3\psi + \mathcal{E}), \quad T_i^{0(S)} = \rho_+ B_{,i}, \quad T_i^{j(S)} = -p\delta_{ij} - K(3\psi + \mathcal{E})\delta_{ij} - 2\mu E_{,ij}^T, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_+ = \rho + p$, $\mathcal{E} = \triangle E$ and $E_{,ij}^T$ is the traceless part of the tensor $E_{,ij}$, $E_{,ij}^T = E_{,ij} - \mathcal{E}\delta_{ij}/3$. In the expressions for T_0^0 and $T_i^{j(S)}$ we assume that the perturbations are *adiabatic*, that is, the entropy per particle S is constant throughout the space.

The proper-time comoving gauge allows for a residual transformation $\delta\eta = a^{-1}\delta t(\mathbf{x})$, where $\delta t(\mathbf{x})$ is a local shift of the moment at which the time count has started. The function $\mathcal{E}$ is invariant under such transformation and the functions B and ψ can be written as

$$B = \mathcal{B} + \chi, \quad \psi = -\mathcal{H}\chi, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is invariant and χ transforms as $\chi \rightarrow \chi + \delta\eta$.

Suppose the solid has flat internal geometry and consider a perturbation of the form of plane wave with the comoving wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. For $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ as functions of η we have two coupled differential equations of first order, coming from the equations $T_0^\mu{}_{;\mu} = 0$ and $2G_{00} = T_{00}$,

$$\mathcal{B}' = (3c_{S0}^2 + \alpha - 1)\mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} + c_{S\parallel}^2\mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{E}' = -(k^2 + 3\alpha\mathcal{H}^2)\mathcal{B} - \alpha\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}, \quad (4)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to η , $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ is the Hubble parameter with respect to η , and the functions α , c_{S0}^2 (auxiliary sound speed squared) and $c_{S\parallel}^2$ (longitudinal sound speed squared) are defined as $\alpha = (3/2)\rho_+/\rho$, $c_{S0}^2 = K/\rho_+$ and $c_{S\parallel}^2 = c_{S0}^2 + (4/3)\mu/\rho_+$. These equations must be supplemented by the dynamical equations for an unperturbed universe,

$$a' = \left(\frac{1}{6}\rho a^4\right)^{1/2}, \quad \rho' = -3\mathcal{H}\rho_+, \quad (5)$$

and an expression for K ,

$$K = \rho_+ \left(\frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)_S. \quad (6)$$

If the matter has more than one component, it holds $\rho = \sum \rho_i$ and $K = \sum K_i$, and the second equation (5) as well as equation (6) hold for each component separately.

Consider a one-component universe in which the parameters $w = p/\rho$ and $\xi = \mu/\rho$ are constant. By combining the two equations (5) we obtain that a is a power-like function of η , $a \propto \eta^{2/(1+3w)}$, and from equation (6) we find that the parameter $\mathcal{K} = K/\rho$ is constant, too, $\mathcal{K} = ww_+$ with $w_+ = w + 1$. Equations for $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ then combine into an equation for $\mathcal{B}$ whose solution are Bessel functions multiplied by a certain power of η . In case $w = 1/3$ the equations for $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are

$$\mathcal{B}' = 2\eta^{-1}\mathcal{B} + c_{S\parallel}^2\mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{E}' = -(k^2 + 6\eta^{-2})\mathcal{B} - 2\eta^{-1}\mathcal{E}, \quad (7)$$

where $c_{S\parallel}^2 = 1/3 + \xi$; and after excluding $\mathcal{E}$ we obtain an equation for $\mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathcal{B}'' + (c_{S\parallel}^2 k^2 + 6\xi\eta^{-2})\mathcal{B} = 0, \quad (8)$$

with the solution

$$\mathcal{B} = \sqrt{z}(a_J J_n + a_Y Y_n), \quad (9)$$

where $z = c_{S\parallel} k\eta$, $n = \sqrt{1/4 - 6\xi}$ and J_n and Y_n are Bessel functions of first and second kind of the argument z .

When describing CMB anisotropies it is convenient to pass to *Newtonian gauge*, in which the functions B and E are traded for the Newtonian potential ϕ and the scalar part of the shift vector $\xi^{(S)}$. Denote the potentials ϕ and ψ and the perturbation to the mass density $\delta\rho$ in the Newtonian gauge by Φ , Ψ and $\overline{\delta\rho}$. For the last two functions we will use expressions coming from the gauge transformation (equations (7.19) and (7.20) in [15]), and for the first function we will write down an expression following from Einstein equations (equation (7.40) in [15]),

$$\Phi = \Psi + \frac{1}{2}a^2\tau^{(2)}, \quad \Psi = \psi + \mathcal{H}(B - E'), \quad \overline{\delta\rho} = \delta\rho - \rho'(B - E'),$$

where $\tau^{(2)}$ is the longitudinal part of the perturbation to $T_i^{j(S)}$ and $\delta\rho$ is the perturbation to T_0^0 . By inserting here from equations (2) and (3) we find

$$\Phi = \Psi - \mu a^2 E, \quad \Psi = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B} - E'), \quad \overline{\delta\rho} = \rho_+(3\Psi + \mathcal{E}), \quad (10)$$

and after we insert into the first equation from the first equation in (5) and into the second equation from the second equation in (4), we obtain

$$\Phi = \Psi + 6\xi k^{-2} \mathcal{H}^2 \mathcal{E}, \quad \Psi = -\alpha k^{-2} \mathcal{H}^2 (3\mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{E}). \quad (11)$$

For $w = 1/3$ this yields

$$\Phi_A \equiv (\Phi, \Psi) = -2(k\eta)^{-2} (3\eta^{-1}\mathcal{B} + \beta_A \mathcal{E}), \quad (12)$$

where $\beta_A = (1 - 3\xi, 1)$. By inserting for $\mathcal{E}$ from the first equation in (4), we can rewrite this in terms of $\mathcal{B}$ only,

$$\Phi_A \propto -z^{-2} \left[\beta_A \frac{d\mathcal{B}}{dz} - (\tilde{\beta}_A - 3\xi) z^{-1} \mathcal{B} \right], \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_A = (1 - 6\xi, 1)$. With $\mathcal{B}$ in the form (9), this is an expression for the potentials Φ and Ψ in terms of Bessel functions and their derivatives.

Let us find the asymptotic of Ψ for perturbations whose wavelength exceeds significantly the size of the sound horizon. It holds $z = c_{S\parallel} r_h / \lambda$, where r_h is the radius of the particle horizon and $\lambda = \lambda / (2\pi)$ is the reduced wavelength. (We have used the fact that the radius of horizon is proportional to the conformal time, $r_h = a\eta$.) Thus, we are interested in the behavior of Ψ in the limit $z \ll 1$. From the approximate formulas

$$J_n \propto z^n \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{4n_+} \right), \quad Y_n \propto -z^{-n},$$

where $n_+ = n + 1$, we obtain

$$\Psi = A_J \left(\frac{p}{q} z^{-m} + z^{-2-m} \right) - A_Y z^{-2-m}, \quad (14)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} m \\ M \end{pmatrix} = 1/2 \mp n$ and the constants p and q are defined as

$$p = \frac{1}{4n_+} (2 - m + 3\xi), \quad q = m - 3\xi. \quad (15)$$

For $|\xi| \ll 1$ it holds $p \doteq 1$ and $q \doteq 3\xi$, therefore $|p/q| \gg 1$ and the term proportional to z^{-m} can dominate the term proportional to z^{-2-m} while z is still small.

Consider now a universe filled with an ideal fluid and suppose that the fluid turned into a solid with the same w at some moment η_s . As before, we put $w = 1/3$. Suppose the solidification was *anisotropic*, producing a solid with flat internal geometry, and consider perturbations that were long-wavelength at the moment η_s ; in other words, suppose $z_s \ll 1$. The first condition means that

equations (4) are valid without modifications at $\eta > \eta_s$ and the second condition implies that Ψ can be written in the form (14) in some interval $\eta > \eta_s$. In a fluid, the potentials Φ and Ψ coincide and, if we restrict ourselves to their non-decaying part, they are constant for long-wavelength perturbations. Denote this constant by Φ_0 . If $|\xi|$ is not too small, $|\xi| \gg z_s^2$, the first term in the brackets in (14) can be neglected at the moment η_s and the matching conditions reduce to

$$\tilde{A}_J - \tilde{A}_Y = \Phi_0, \quad (2+m)\tilde{A}_J - (2+M)\tilde{A}_Y = -\eta_s[\Psi'], \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{A}_J = A_J z_s^{-2-m}$ and $\tilde{A}_Y = A_Y z_s^{-2-M}$ and the square brackets denote the jump of the function at $\eta = \eta_s$. To determine $[\Psi']$, we observe that the density contrast $\delta = \overline{\delta\rho}/\rho$ equals $-2\Phi_0$ for long-wavelength perturbations in a universe filled with fluid [15]. By using $\delta = w_+(3\Phi_0 + \mathcal{E})$, see the last equation in (10), and putting $w = 1/3$, we find $\mathcal{E} = -9\Phi_0/2$ and

$$\eta_s[\Psi'] = -6(k\eta_s)^{-2}[B'] = -6c_{S\parallel}^2 z_s^{-2} \xi \mathcal{E}_s = 27c_{S\parallel}^2 \xi z_s^{-2} \Phi_0.$$

Our assumption about the values of ξ guarantees that this is in absolute value much greater than $|\Phi_0|$, so that we can neglect the right hand side of the first equation in (16). The solution then is

$$\tilde{A}_J = \tilde{A}_Y = r z_s^{-2} \Phi_0, \quad r = \frac{27}{2n} c_{S\parallel}^2 \xi. \quad (17)$$

After inserting this into (14) and introducing a rescaled time $\tilde{\eta}$ normalized to 1 at the moment η_s , $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/\eta_s = z/z_s$, we have

$$\Psi = P \tilde{\eta}^{-m} + Q z_s^{-2} (\tilde{\eta}^{-2-m} - \tilde{\eta}^{-2-M}), \quad (18)$$

where $P = (p/q)r\Phi_0$ and $Q = r\Phi_0$.

2.2 Adding the solid to the rest of matter

Consider a universe filled with nonrelativistic matter (dust) with density ρ_m and pressure $p_m = 0$ and radiation with density ρ_r and pressure $p_r = \rho_r/3$, and suppose that radiation consists of ordinary radiation (photons and neutrinos) with density ρ_{rI} and zero shear modulus, and of radiation-like solid with density ρ_{rII} and shear modulus μ proportional to ρ_{rII} . Both ordinary radiation and radiation-like solid have the same dependence of p on ρ and hence of ρ on a ; thus, the densities ρ_{rI} and ρ_{rII} are at any given moment equal to the same fractions of ρ_r and μ is proportional to ρ_r , $\mu = \xi \rho_r$ with constant ξ .

Let us first write down the solution of equations (5) for an unperturbed universe. Denote $\zeta = \eta/\eta_*$ with $\eta_* = \eta_{eq}/(\sqrt{2} - 1)$, where the index ‘eq’ refers to the moment when the densities ρ_r and ρ_m are equal. From the second equation (5), written separately for radiation and matter, we have

$$\rho_r \propto a^{-4}, \quad \rho_m \propto a^{-3},$$

and from the first equation (5) with $\rho = \rho_r + \rho_m$ on the right hand side we obtain

$$a = a_{eq}\zeta(2 + \zeta).$$

Now we turn to the perturbed universe. Denote $\zeta_+ = 1 + \zeta$, $X = \zeta(2 + \zeta)$, $X_+ = 1 + X = \zeta_+^2$ and $\hat{X}_+ = 1 + (3/4)X$. From the formulas for ρ_r and ρ_m we have $\rho_r/\rho_m = a_{eq}/a = 1/X$, so that $\rho_r/\rho = 1/X_+$, $\rho_{r+}/\rho_+ = 1/\hat{X}_+$ and $\rho_+/\rho = (4/3)\hat{X}_+/X_+$. The function α equals $(3/2)\rho_+/\rho$ as before and the functions c_{S0}^2 and $c_{S\parallel}^2$ now equal $(1/3)\rho_{r+}/\rho_+$ (because $K = K_r = \rho_{r+}/3$) and $(1/3 + \xi)\rho_{r+}/\rho_+$; thus, $\alpha = 2\hat{X}_+/X_+$, $c_{S0}^2 = (1/3)/\hat{X}_+$ and $c_{S\parallel}^2 = (1/3 + \xi)/\hat{X}_+$. Finally, by differentiating $a \propto X$ with respect to η we obtain $\mathcal{H} = (2\zeta_+/X)\eta_*^{-1}$. With these expressions equations (4) become

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}{d\zeta} = \left(\frac{1}{\hat{X}_+} + \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}X}{X_+} \right) \frac{2\zeta_+}{X} \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \xi}{\hat{X}_+} \mathcal{E}, \quad \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\zeta} = -\left(\kappa^2 + \frac{24\hat{X}_+}{X^2} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{4\hat{X}_+}{\zeta_+ X} \mathcal{E}, \quad (19)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \eta_*^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\kappa = k\eta_*$; and equations (11) can be written as

$$\Phi_A = \frac{\hat{X}_+}{X^2} \left(\frac{6\zeta_+}{X} \mathcal{B}_r + b_A \mathcal{E}_r \right), \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_r = -8\kappa^{-2}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{E}_r = -8\kappa^{-2}\mathcal{E}$ and $b_A = (1, 1 - 3\xi/\hat{X}_+)$.

A straightforward way to compute the functions Φ and Ψ would be by solving an equation of second order for $\mathcal{B}_r$, similar as in the theory with one-component matter. However, if we are interested in small values of κ only, we can also derive an equation of second order directly for Ψ and solve it perturbatively. As we will see, the equation becomes especially simple if we factor out of Ψ a certain function of ζ .

By differentiating equation (20) with $A = 2$ and using equations (19) we obtain

$$\frac{d\Psi}{d\zeta} = -\left(1 + \frac{2\hat{X}_+}{X} \right) \frac{2\zeta_+}{X} \Psi + 6\xi \frac{\zeta_+}{X^3} \mathcal{E}_r - \kappa^2 \frac{\hat{X}_+}{X^2} \mathcal{B}_r.$$

(To simplify the algebra, it is useful to express the coefficient in front of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ in the first equation (19) as logarithmic derivative of the function $X^2/(\zeta_+\hat{X}_+)$.) The coefficient in front of Ψ is logarithmic derivative of the function ζ_+/X^3 , therefore if we write Ψ as $(\zeta_+/X^3)F$, we eliminate the term with Ψ from the right hand side,

$$\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = 6\xi \mathcal{E}_r - \kappa^2 \frac{X\hat{X}_+}{\zeta_+} \mathcal{B}_r. \quad (21)$$

Besides that, we have an equation for $\mathcal{E}_r$ and an expression for $\mathcal{B}_r$ in terms of $\mathcal{E}_r$ and F ,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_r}{d\zeta} = -\frac{4F}{X^2} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{B}_r, \quad \mathcal{B}_r = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{F}{\hat{X}_+} - \frac{X}{\zeta_+} \mathcal{E}_r \right). \quad (22)$$

By differentiating the first equation and inserting into it from the other two equations we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 F}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{24\xi}{X^2} F = -\kappa^2 \left[(\mathcal{X} + \xi) \frac{F}{\hat{X}_+} + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \mathcal{X} \right) \frac{X}{\zeta_+} \mathcal{E}_r \right], \quad (23)$$

where $\mathcal{X} = [1 + (2/3)X]\hat{X}_+/X_+$. This becomes an equation of second order for F if $\mathcal{E}_r$ is expressed in terms of F and $dF/d\zeta$ by using equation (21), with $\mathcal{B}_r$ inserted from the second equation (22).

2.3 Long-wave limit

Consider a perturbation that is stretched far beyond horizon at equality, $\kappa \approx k\eta_{eq} \ll 1$. We will solve equation (23) perturbatively up to the first order in κ^2 ; thus, we will find $F^{(0)}$ from equation (23) without the right hand side, compute $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$, insert $F^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ on the right hand side of (23) and find ΔF .

Suppose that the radiation-like solid appeared in the universe at some moment η_s in the radiation dominated era, $\eta_s \ll \eta_{eq}$. For $\eta_s \leq \eta \ll \eta_{eq}$ our Ψ must coincide within a good accuracy with Ψ of the theory with one-component matter that has $w = 1/3$. Since we have assumed $k\eta_{eq} \ll 1$, it surely holds $z_s \approx k\eta_s \ll 1$, too, hence at $\eta \ll \eta_{eq}$ our Ψ must be given by equation (18). By using $\tilde{\eta} = \zeta/\zeta_s$ and $z_s = c_{S\parallel}^{(0)}\kappa\zeta_s$, where $(c_{S\parallel}^{(0)})^2 = 1/3 + \xi$, we obtain

$$\Psi = \zeta_s^m [P\zeta^{-m} + \kappa^{-2}\tilde{Q}(\zeta^{-2-m} - \zeta_s^{2n}\zeta^{-2-M})], \quad (24)$$

where $\tilde{Q} = (c_{S\parallel}^{(0)})^{-2}Q$. Our aim is to extend this solution to $\eta \sim \eta_{eq}$ and further. Clearly, the second part of (24) will be then replaced by $\Psi^{(0)}$ and the first part of (24) will be replaced by $\Delta\Psi$.

For $F^{(0)}$ we have a homogeneous equation

$$\frac{d^2 F^{(0)}}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{24\xi F^{(0)}}{\zeta^2(2+\zeta)^2} = 0, \quad (25)$$

whose solution is

$$F^{(0)} = c_m f_m + c_M f_M, \quad \begin{cases} f_m = \zeta^m(2+\zeta)^M \\ f_M = \zeta^M(2+\zeta)^m \end{cases}. \quad (26)$$

The asymptotics of $F^{(0)}$ and $\Psi^{(0)} = (\zeta_+/X^3)F^{(0)}$ in the limit $\zeta \ll 1$ are

$$F^{(0)} = \hat{c}_m \zeta^m + \hat{c}_M \zeta^M, \quad \Psi^{(0)} = \frac{1}{8}(\hat{c}_m \zeta^{-2-M} + \hat{c}_M \zeta^{-2-m}),$$

where the constants $\hat{c}_m$ and $\hat{c}_M$ are defined as $\hat{c}_m = 2^M c_m$ and $\hat{c}_M = 2^m c_M$, and we have rewritten the powers of ζ in $\Psi^{(0)}$ with the help of the identity $\binom{m}{M} = 1 - \binom{M}{m}$. The approximate expression for $\Psi^{(0)}$ coincides with the second part of (24) if $\hat{c}_M = -\zeta_s^{-2n}\hat{c}_m = 8\kappa^{-2}\zeta_s^m\tilde{Q}$.

The ratio of the two terms in the asymptotic expression for $F^{(0)}$ equals in absolute value 1 at $\zeta = \zeta_s$, and then decreases as ζ^{-2n} . Thus, except for the first period after η_s , the second term can be neglected and the function F in the zeroth order in κ can be written as $F^{(0)} = c_M f_M$.

Having found $F^{(0)}$ we can compute ΔF . From equation (21) we have $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)} = (6\xi)^{-1}dF^{(0)}/d\zeta$ and if we keep only the term proportional to f_M in $F^{(0)}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)} = (6\xi)^{-1}\frac{\zeta + 2M}{X}F^{(0)}. \quad (27)$$

After inserting $F^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ on the right hand side of (23), we arrive at the equation

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta F}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{24\xi \Delta F}{\zeta^2(2+\zeta)^2} = -C_M \mathcal{F}, \quad (28)$$

where $C_M = (6\xi)^{-1}\kappa^2 c_M$ and

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[(\mathcal{X} + \xi) \frac{6\xi}{\hat{X}_+} + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \mathcal{X} \right) \frac{\zeta + 2M}{\zeta_+} \right] f_M. \quad (29)$$

The Wronskian of the functions f_m and f_M is $4n$, hence the solution is

$$\Delta F = -\frac{1}{4n} C_M \left(f_M \int f_m \mathcal{F} d\zeta - m \leftrightarrow M \right). \quad (30)$$

In order that ΔF is small in comparison with $F^{(0)}$, it must hold $|C_M/c_M| \approx |\xi|^{-1}\kappa^2 \ll 1$. We are interested predominantly in solids with small dimensionless shear modulus, $|\xi| \ll 1$, for which the requirement $\kappa \ll \sqrt{|\xi|}$ is a stronger constraint on κ than just $\kappa \ll 1$. However, it turns out that the theory holds also for $\kappa \gg \sqrt{|\xi|}$, as long as the condition $\kappa \ll 1$ is observed. As a result, we can use it for $\kappa \sim \sqrt{|\xi|}$, too, regarding it as an interpolating theory between the two opposite asymptotic regions.

To prove the claim that our theory is valid for $\kappa \gg \sqrt{|\xi|}$, we must show that in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ our F coincides with the function F_{id} obtained for long-wavelength perturbations in the theory with an ideal fluid. By putting $\xi = 0$ in the definitions of f_m , f_M and $\mathcal{F}$ we obtain $f_m = 2 + \zeta$, $f_M = \zeta$ and $\mathcal{F} = (1/3 - \mathcal{X})\zeta(\zeta + 2)/\zeta_+$. We also notice that the constants c_m and c_M must go to zero like ξ in order that the constant $C_M \propto \xi^{-1}c_M$ stays finite. As a result, $F^{(0)}$ vanishes and the arbitrary constants in the integrals in ΔF must be chosen in such a way that neither a constant term nor a term proportional to ζ appears there; otherwise $F^{(0)}$ will be restored. Furthermore, eliminating the constant $C_m \propto \xi^{-1}c_m$, which normally means ignoring the decaying part of $F^{(0)}$ when computing the right hand side of (28), is not necessary here since the function that enters the right hand side of (28) multiplied by C_m coincides with $\mathcal{F}$. If we denote $C = C_m + C_M$, we have

$$F \doteq \Delta F = \frac{1}{24} C \zeta^3 \left(\frac{3}{5} \zeta^2 + 3\zeta + \frac{13}{3} + \frac{1}{\zeta_+} \right), \quad (31)$$

and the function $\Phi = (\zeta_+/X^3)F$ is identical with the non-decaying part of Φ_{id} , as given in equation (7.71) in [15]. Thus, F is identical with F_{id} .

The function Φ for an ideal fluid is constant both at $\zeta \ll 1$ (radiation dominated era) and $\zeta \gg 1$ (matter dominated era), and the ratio of its values at $\zeta \gg 1$ and $\zeta \ll 1$ is $\omega_0 = 9/10$. However, we are not really interested in the value of Φ at infinite time; instead, we want to know Φ at the moment of recombination. Denote the quantities referring to that moment by the index ‘re’. It holds $a_{re} = a_{eq}\zeta_{re}(2 + \zeta_{re}) \doteq 3a_{eq}$, therefore the value of ζ at the time of recombination is $\zeta_{re} \doteq 1$ and the ratio of the values of Φ at recombination and before equality is $\omega = 253/270 = 0.94$.

Let us find the asymptotics of ΔF in case the medium has nonzero shear stress. At $\zeta \ll 1$ we have $f_m = 2^M \zeta^m$, $f_M = 2^m \zeta^M$ and $\mathcal{F} = -4s_0 f_M/3$ with $s_0 = M - 9\xi(1 + \xi)/2$, while at $\zeta \gg 1$ we have $f_m = \zeta + 2M$, $f_M = \zeta + 2m$ and $\mathcal{F} = -\zeta^3/2$. After inserting these expressions into (30)

we obtain

$$\Delta F = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{9}s\hat{C}_M\zeta^{2+M} & \text{if } \zeta \ll 1 \\ \frac{1}{40}C_M\zeta^5 & \text{if } \zeta \gg 1 \end{cases}, \quad (32)$$

where $\hat{C}_M = 2^m C_M$ and $s = 3s_0/(2n_+)$.

Now we are ready to write down the asymptotic formulas for the leading term in Ψ and the first correction to it at $\zeta \ll 1$ and $\zeta \gg 1$. From the definitions

$$\Psi^{(0)} = \frac{\zeta_+}{X^3} F^{(0)}, \quad \Delta\Psi = \frac{\zeta_+}{X^3} \Delta F, \quad (33)$$

we obtain

$$\Psi^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}\hat{c}_M\zeta^{-2-m} & \text{if } \zeta \ll 1 \\ c_M\zeta^{-4} & \text{if } \zeta \gg 1 \end{cases}, \quad \Delta\Psi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{36}s\hat{C}_M\zeta^{-m} & \text{if } \zeta \ll 1 \\ \frac{1}{40}C_M & \text{if } \zeta \gg 1 \end{cases}, \quad (34)$$

One easily verifies that the asymptotics of $\Psi^{(0)}$ and $\Delta\Psi$ at $\zeta \ll 1$ are consistent with the approximate formula (24). Indeed, from the identity $(m - 3\xi)(M - 3\xi) = 3\xi(1 + 3\xi)$ we obtain

$$q = \frac{3\xi(1 + 3\xi)}{M - 3\xi}, \quad p = \frac{1}{4n_+}(2 - q) = \frac{1}{2n_+} \frac{s_0}{M - 3\xi},$$

so that the ratio of the coefficients in front of ζ^{-m} and ζ^{-2-m} in (24) is

$$\frac{P}{\kappa^{-2}\bar{Q}} = \frac{\kappa^2(1 + 3\xi)p}{3q} = \frac{\kappa^2 s_0}{18n_+\xi} = \frac{\kappa^2 s}{27\xi} = \frac{\frac{1}{36}s\hat{C}_M}{\frac{1}{8}\hat{c}_m}.$$

According to equation (20), Φ can be expressed in terms of Ψ and $\mathcal{E}_r$ as $\Phi = \Psi - (3\xi/X^2)\mathcal{E}_r$. The function $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ is given in (27) and the function $\Delta\mathcal{E}_r$ is

$$\Delta\mathcal{E}_r = (6\xi)^{-1} \left[\frac{d\Delta F}{d\zeta} + \kappa^2 \frac{X\hat{X}_+}{6\zeta_+} \left(\frac{F^{(0)}}{\hat{X}_+} - \frac{X}{\zeta_+} \mathcal{E}_r^{(0)} \right) \right]. \quad (35)$$

This yields

$$\Phi^{(0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\zeta + m}{X^3} F^{(0)} \quad (36)$$

and

$$\Delta\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Psi + \frac{1}{4}C_M \left[\frac{1}{X^3} f_M \int f_m \mathcal{F} d\zeta + m \leftrightarrow M - \frac{\hat{X}_+}{3\zeta_+ X} \left(\frac{6\xi}{\hat{X}_+} - \frac{\zeta + 2M}{\zeta_+} \right) f_M \right]. \quad (37)$$

The asymptotics of the leading term in Φ and the first correction to it are

$$\Phi^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}m\hat{c}_M\zeta^{-2-m} & \text{if } \zeta \ll 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}c_M\zeta^{-4} & \text{if } \zeta \gg 1 \end{cases}, \quad \Delta\Phi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{36}\sigma\hat{C}_M\zeta^{-m} & \text{if } \zeta \ll 1 \\ \frac{1}{40}C_M - \frac{1}{2}\xi C_M\zeta^{-2} & \text{if } \zeta \gg 1 \end{cases}, \quad (38)$$

where $\sigma = 3M - 9\xi - (1 + M)s$. In the expression for $\Delta\Phi$ at $\zeta \gg 1$ we have skipped the terms of order ζ^{-1} and ζ^{-2} that are the same as in $\Delta\Psi$, and kept only the first term in the expansion in ζ^{-1} by which $\Delta\Phi$ differs from $\Delta\Psi$. A test of the above formulas in the limit $\zeta \ll 1$ would be to compare them to an expression for Φ analogical to (24), valid for a universe filled with pure radiation-like solid. Equivalently, one can compare the ratios of the coefficients in the upper lines

of equations (38) and (34) to the ratios of the corresponding coefficients in Φ and Ψ in the case with pure radiation-like solid. After deriving an expression for Φ analogous to (18), one can check by a straightforward computation that the ratios are the same.

Let us determine the values of the potentials Φ and Ψ at recombination. We will express them in terms of their value Φ_0 at the initial moment, which can be identified as the end of inflation and beginning of Friedmann expansion of the universe. To calculate Φ_{re} and Ψ_{re} we need to know the constants c_M and C_M . For the former constant we have

$$c_M = 2^{-m}\hat{c}_M = 8\kappa^{-2}(\zeta_s/2)^m\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = (c_{S\parallel}^{(0)})^{-2}r\Phi_0 = \frac{27}{2n}\xi\Phi_0,$$

so that

$$c_M = 216\kappa^{-2}\xi\hat{\Phi}_0, \quad \hat{\Phi}_0 = \frac{(\zeta_s/2)^m}{2n}\Phi_0, \quad (39)$$

and from the definition of the latter constant we then obtain $C_M = 36\hat{\Phi}_0$. Note that $\hat{\Phi}_0 \doteq \Phi_0$ if $|\xi| \ll 1$. The value of Φ and Ψ at $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ is $\Phi_\infty = C_M/40 = \omega_0\hat{\Phi}_0$, hence the only effect of the solid on Φ_∞ is that Φ_0 is replaced by $\hat{\Phi}_0$. Suppose the solid does not contribute to the total mass density substantially, so that its presence does not affect the temperature of radiation at equality and $\zeta_{re} \doteq 1$ as in the case with pure fluid. By inserting this value into the first equation in (33) and into equation (36) we obtain $\Phi_{re}^{(0)} = (2/27)3^m c_M$ and $\Psi_{re}^{(0)} = [(1/2 + m)/27]3^m c_M$, or

$$\Phi_{Are}^{(0)} = m_A\kappa^{-2}\xi\hat{\Phi}_0, \quad m_A = (2, 1/2 + m)8 \cdot 3^m. \quad (40)$$

The corrections to these values are of the form

$$\Delta\Phi_{Are} = n_A\hat{\Phi}_0, \quad n_A = \text{expressions composed of } \int_{\zeta_{re}}^{\zeta} (f_m \text{ or } f_M)\mathcal{F}d\zeta \doteq \omega \text{ if } |\xi| \ll 1. \quad (41)$$

Thus, the functions Φ and Ψ evaluated at recombination can be written as

$$\Phi_{Are} = (m_A\kappa^{-2}\xi + n_A)\hat{\Phi}_0. \quad (42)$$

Equation (42) with $\hat{\Phi}_0$ inserted from the second equation (39) is the desired expression of Φ_{re} and Ψ_{re} in terms of Φ_0 . However, when constructing the graphs of Φ_{re} and Ψ_{re} as functions of κ , we replace the parameter Φ_0 by a more descriptive parameter $\Phi_{id,re} = \omega\Phi_0$. The graphs are shown in fig. 1. The value of the dimensionless shear modulus is $\xi = 1/600$ in the left panel and $\xi = -1/600$ in the right panel. (The values are the same as in fig. 1 in [13], where the parameter $b = 6\xi$ is used instead of ξ .) For such ξ the deviation of n_A from ω is several thousandths only and does not need to be taken into account. Heavy curves are computed for $\zeta_s = 10^{-5}$, which corresponds to shear stress appearing on the scale of nucleosynthesis, and light curves are computed for $\zeta_s = 10^{-24}$, which corresponds to shear stress appearing on the GUT scale. The curves cross zero in case $\xi < 0$, therefore we have combined ordinary and logarithmic scale on the vertical axis in the right panel.




Fig. 1: Scalar perturbations at recombination as functions of the wave number for positive (left) and negative (right) shear stress

For the conformal time η_* we have $\eta_* \doteq \eta_{re}$, hence $\kappa \doteq k\eta_{re} = (r_h)_{re}/\lambda_{re}$ and the condition $\kappa \ll 1$ we have used when deriving the expressions for Φ_{re} and Ψ_{re} means that the perturbation is stretched far beyond horizon not just at equality, but also at recombination. In the figure we have extrapolated the curves up to $\kappa = 0.5$, where the error is of order 25%. At such κ Φ_{re} and Ψ_{re} decrease in the exact theory due to the fact that the inhomogeneities are suppressed inside horizon during the first quarter-period of acoustic oscillations. In our approximation the functions are saturated close to $\kappa = 0.5$, where the right hand side of (42) is dominated by the second (constant) term. The first term is small because of small $|\xi|$. As κ decreases, the first term becomes dominant and both functions start to rise or fall steeply depending on the sign of ξ .

3 Large-scale CMB anisotropies

3.1 Temperature fluctuations

To compute CMB anisotropies we must know the relative deviation of the temperature from its mean value $\Delta T = \delta T/T$ at the time of recombination. Since $\rho_\gamma \propto T^4$, it holds

$$\Delta T = \frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma, \quad (43)$$

where δ_γ is the density contrast of radiation, $\delta_\gamma = \overline{\delta\rho_\gamma}/\rho_\gamma$. Density perturbations of individual components of matter, if independent from each other, are given by a formula analogical to that for the total density perturbation, $\overline{\delta\rho_i} = \rho_{i+}(3\Psi + \mathcal{E})$. For the density contrast δ_γ this yields

$$\delta_\gamma = 4\Psi + \frac{4}{3}\mathcal{E}. \quad (44)$$

The quantity ΔT is one of the two terms in the *effective* fluctuation of temperature ΔT_{eff} , measured by a local observer that is at rest with respect to the unperturbed matter and observes the radiation arriving in the same direction in which it then propagates to the observer on Earth. Denote the local velocity of matter by $\mathbf{v}$ and the unit vector pointing towards the place from which the radiation comes to the observer on Earth by $\mathbf{n}$. The function ΔT_{eff} differs from ΔT by the term $\Delta T_D = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ coming from Doppler effect. Suppose the velocity reduces to its scalar part, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(S)}$, and denote the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{k}$ by $\mathbf{m}$. By performing the transformation from the proper-time comoving gauge to the Newtonian gauge we find $\mathbf{v} = -i\mathbf{k}E' = i\mathbf{m}\kappa^{-1}d\mathcal{E}/d\zeta$ and

$$\Delta T_D = -i\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} \kappa^{-1} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\zeta}. \quad (45)$$

Note that the expression for $\mathbf{v}$ can be obtained also from the energy conservation law,

$$(\delta_\gamma - 4\Psi)' + \frac{4}{3}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

if one inserts into it from (44). The law is the same as in the theory with an ideal fluid, see equation (7.110) in [15], except that Φ is replaced by Ψ .

CMB anisotropies are calculated from the temperature fluctuations ΔT_0 , seen at present on Earth. (The index 0 denotes the present moment, while previously it denoted the beginning of Friedmann expansion. The notation is standard and its ambiguity is not considered a problem, since the meaning of the index can be easily identified from the context.) To compute ΔT_0 we make use of the fact that the temperature fluctuations of the radiation propagating freely from the surface of last scattering satisfy

$$\frac{d(\Delta T + \Phi)}{d\eta} = \frac{\partial(\Phi + \Psi)}{\partial\eta}.$$

Again, this is the same equation as in the theory with an ideal fluid, except that one Φ on the right hand side is replaced by Ψ , see equation (9.20) in [15]. The contribution of the right hand side to ΔT_0 , the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect, is presumably between 10 and 20% as in the theory with an ideal fluid [15]; therefore the right hand side can be neglected in the first approximation. If we put the value of Φ measured on Earth at present equal to zero, the equation yields $\Delta T_0 = (\Delta T_{eff} + \Phi)_{re}$; and by inserting here from equations (43), (44) and (45) we obtain

$$\Delta T_0 = \left(\Phi + \Psi + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E} - i\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} \kappa^{-1} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\zeta} \right)_{re}. \quad (46)$$

We are interested in this quantity for long-wavelength perturbations ($\kappa \ll 1$).

We already know the values of Φ and Ψ at recombination, so that all we need to do is to calculate the values assumed at recombination by the function $\mathcal{E}$ and its derivative. Let us first discuss the behavior of $\mathcal{E}$ for an ideal fluid. We have mentioned earlier that for a one-component

fluid $\mathcal{E}$ is constant and equal to $-9\Phi_0/2$. This stays valid also for a two-component fluid composed of radiation and matter, if we regard Φ_0 as the value of Φ deep in the radiation dominated era. Indeed, the second equation (22) combined with equation (21) with $\xi = 0$ yields

$$\mathcal{E} \doteq -\frac{6\zeta_+}{X}\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = -\frac{3X_+}{4X^2\hat{X}_+}\frac{dF}{d\zeta},$$

and since the function F defined in (31) satisfies the identity

$$X_+\frac{dF}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{6}CX^2\hat{X}_+,$$

$\mathcal{E}$ is constant and equal to $-C/8$. (We have skipped the term proportional to F in the formula relating $\mathcal{E}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ because it is of order κ^2 .) The meaning of C can be established by computing the function $\Phi = (\zeta_+/X^3)F$ at $\zeta \ll 1$ and putting the result equal to Φ_0 . In this way we find $C = 36\Phi_0$, which yields the desired value of $\mathcal{E}$.

Consider now the function $\mathcal{E}$ for a solid. Its leading term, the function $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$, is obtained by multiplying the function $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ from equation (27) by $-(1/8)\kappa^2$. After inserting for $F^{(0)}$ the product $c_M f_M$ with the constant c_M given in (39), we find

$$\mathcal{E}^{(0)} = -\frac{9(\zeta + 2M)}{2X}f_M\hat{\Phi}_0. \quad (47)$$

This yields

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}^{(0)}}{d\zeta} = \frac{18mM}{X^2}f_M\hat{\Phi}_0, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{re}^{(0)} = -\frac{3}{2}(1 + 2M)3^m\hat{\Phi}_0. \quad \left(\frac{d\mathcal{E}^{(0)}}{d\zeta}\right)_{re} = \frac{2}{3}mM \cdot 3^m\hat{\Phi}_0. \quad (49)$$

The first quantity is of order Φ_0 , just like $\Delta\Phi_{re}$ and $\Delta\Psi_{re}$, and the second quantity is of order $\xi\Phi_0$. Obviously, the first quantity must be included into ΔT_0 ; however, the second quantity can be skipped, because its contribution to ΔT_0 is of order $\kappa^{-1}\xi\Phi_0$ and hence is suppressed by the factor κ with respect to the contributions of $\Phi_{re}^{(0)}$ and $\Psi_{re}^{(0)}$.

The function $\Delta\mathcal{E}$ can be calculated in a similar way as $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ starting from equation (35), but if we are interested only in its order of magnitude at recombination, we can use its expression in terms of $\Delta\Phi$ and $\Delta\Psi$ instead,

$$\Delta\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4}(6\xi)^{-1}\kappa^2X^2(\Delta\Phi - \Delta\Psi). \quad (50)$$

In the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ both $\Delta\Phi$ and $\Delta\Psi$ equal Φ_{id} , hence the Taylor expansion of their difference begins by a term proportional ξ and hence the function $\Delta\mathcal{E}$ is of order $\kappa^2\Phi_0$. As a result, the contributions of both $\Delta\mathcal{E}_{re}$ and $(\Delta\mathcal{E}/d\zeta)_{re}$ to ΔT_0 are negligible, being suppressed by factors κ^2 and κ respectively when compared to the contributions of $\Delta\Phi_{re}$ and $\Delta\Psi_{re}$.

The estimate of $\Delta\mathcal{E}$ implies that the function $\mathcal{E}$ is well approximated by $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$. This yields $\mathcal{E} = -9\Phi_0/2$ for $|\xi| \ll 1$, proving once more that the theory works well in the limit $\kappa \gg \sqrt{|\xi|}$.

We have seen that in ΔT_0 one can leave out the contribution of $\Delta \mathcal{E}$ to the term $\mathcal{E}/3$ and the whole Doppler term proportional to $d\mathcal{E}/d\zeta$. What remains is

$$\Delta T_0 = (\alpha \kappa^{-2} \xi + \beta) \hat{\Phi}_0, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{5}{2} + m\right) 8 \cdot 3^m, \quad \beta = n_1 + n_2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2M)3^m. \quad (52)$$

If $|\xi| \ll 1$, we can put $\xi = 0$ in α and β as well as in $\hat{\Phi}_0$, so that the only place where ξ is left is the product $\kappa^{-2}\xi$ in the first term. The expression for ΔT_0 then becomes

$$\Delta T_0 = (20\kappa^{-2}\xi + b)\hat{\Phi}_0, \quad (53)$$

where $b = 2\omega - 3/2 = 101/270 = 0.37$. For an ideal fluid the first term vanishes and the formula reduces to $\Delta T_0 = b\Phi_0$. In approximate calculations, one replaces b by b_0 obtained in the limit $\zeta_{re} \gg 1$, $b_0 = 2\omega_0 - 3/2 = 3/10$, see par. 9.5 in [15].

3.2 Power spectrum

The power spectrum of CMB anisotropies are the coefficients C_l in the formula

$$C(\theta) \equiv \left\langle \Delta T_0(\mathbf{n}_1) \Delta T_0(\mathbf{n}_2) \right|_{\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 = \cos \theta} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum (2l+1) C_l P_l(\cos \theta), \quad (54)$$

where the angle brackets denote averaging over an ensemble of universes and P_l are Legendre polynomials. (We will use this definition, although when presenting observational data one usually regards C_l as *dimensional* quantities, defined in terms of δT_0 rather than ΔT_0 .) To compute C_l for small l , we need to know the temperature fluctuations at present ΔT_0 for small κ . This is, however, *not* what appears in equation (51). The quantity there is *Fourier coefficient* of the deviation of the temperature from its mean value, and the quantity Φ_0 on the right hand side is *Fourier coefficient* of the Newtonian potential at the beginning of Friedmann expansion. Thus, the equation must be read as

$$\Delta T_{0\mathbf{k}} = (20\kappa^{-2}\xi + b)\Phi_{0\mathbf{k}}. \quad (55)$$

From inflation one obtains a spectrum of Φ_0 which is, within a good precision, *flat*; that is, $\langle \Phi_{0\mathbf{k}} \Phi_{0\mathbf{k}'} \rangle = B k^{-3} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ with constant B . By inserting $\Delta T_0(\mathbf{n}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \Delta T_{0\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\eta_0 \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}} d^3 k$ into (54) and using the expression for $\langle \Phi_{0\mathbf{k}} \Phi_{0\mathbf{k}'} \rangle$, we find

$$C_l = \frac{2}{\pi} B \int_0^\infty (20\kappa^{-2}\xi + b)^2 j_l^2(k\eta_0) \frac{dk}{k},$$

where j_l is the spherical Bessel function. Next we pass from k to $s = k\eta_0$ to obtain

$$C_l = \frac{2}{\pi} B b^2 \int_0^\infty (\xi_* s^{-2} + 1)^2 j_l^2(s) \frac{ds}{s}, \quad (56)$$

where $\xi_* = (20/b)(\eta_0/\eta_*)^2\xi$. The integral can be computed with the help of the formula

$$\int_0^\infty s^{-n} j_l^2 \frac{ds}{s} = \frac{\pi}{8 \cdot 2^n} \frac{\Gamma(2+n)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{3+n}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(l - \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(l+2 + \frac{n}{2})}.$$

We find

$$C_l = \left[\frac{8}{15} \frac{\xi_*^2}{(l+3)(l+2)(l-1)(l-2)} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\xi_*}{(l+2)(l-1)} + 1 \right] C_{l,id}, \quad (57)$$

where

$$C_{l,id} = \frac{1}{l(l+1)} \frac{Bb^2}{\pi}. \quad (58)$$

After b is replaced by b_0 , the expression for $C_{l,id}$ coincides with that in equation (9.44) in [15].

To complete the theory, we will find the numerical value of the coefficient of proportionality between ξ_* and ξ . For a flat universe with the density parameters Ω_m and Ω_Λ we have

$$\frac{\eta_0}{\eta_*} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m} \right)^{-1/6} \int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{d\tau}{(\sinh \tau)^{2/3}} \left(\frac{a_0}{a_{eq}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\tau_0 = \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By using $\Omega_m = 0.32$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.68$ (Planck results) and taking for the ratio a_0/a_{eq} the value 3560 (computed for the cited Ω_m and $h = 0.67$, which is also a Planck result), we obtain $\eta_0/\eta_* = 54.4$ and

$$\xi_* = 1.58 \times 10^5 \xi. \quad (59)$$

The coefficients C_0 , C_1 and C_2 are infinite, first of them even in case the cosmic medium is fluid. (This is true for C_0 unless $\xi_* = (15/2)(1 \pm \sqrt{3/5})$ and for C_1 unless $\xi_* = 10$. However, as seen from the following discussion, there is no need to explore these singular cases in detail.) The coefficients become finite if we take into account that the spectrum of perturbations is cut off at some wave number k_{min} given by the duration of inflation; and the coefficient C_2 , as well as the coefficient C_0 in case $\xi = 0$, become finite also if we introduce a small negative tilt of the primordial spectrum, replacing B by Bs^ϵ with $\epsilon > 0$. However, of the three coefficients we need to consider C_2 (quadrupole) only. Recall why the other two coefficients (monopole and dipole) do not enter the theory. An individual observer has no clue how much the mean temperature T_{obs} he has measured differs from the true mean temperature T , neither can he tell how much his velocity with respect to CMB V_{obs} , which he determines by averaging the product $\Delta T_{obs} \cos \theta$, differs from his true velocity V . He identifies T with T_{obs} and V with V_{obs} ; and if we write the coefficients C_l as

$$C_l = \left\langle \Delta T_0(\mathbf{n}_1) \int \Delta T_0(\mathbf{n}_2) P_l d\Omega_2 \right\rangle,$$

we can see that such identification means that the coefficients C_0 and C_1 are put equal to zero.

If we write the renormalized coefficient C_2 as

$$C_{2r} = \left(u\xi_*^2 + \frac{1}{3}\xi_* + 1 \right) C_{2id}, \quad (60)$$

we obtain by a straightforward calculation

$$u = \frac{4}{75}\epsilon^{-1}(1 - s_{min}^\epsilon) = \frac{4}{75} \times \begin{cases} \epsilon^{-1} \equiv u_I & \text{if } \epsilon \log(1/s_{min}) \gg 1 \\ \log(1/s_{min}) \equiv u_{II} & \text{if } \epsilon \log(1/s_{min}) \ll 1 \end{cases}. \quad (61)$$

The parameter ϵ is the deviation of the scalar spectral index n_S from 1, $\epsilon = 1 - n_S$, so that $\epsilon = 0.04$ and $u_I = 4/3$ for the observational mean value of n_S , which is 0.96 (once again a Planck result). The value of the parameter s_{min} depends on the inflationary scenario. It holds

$$s_{min} = k_{min}\eta_0 = \frac{r_{h0}}{\chi_{max0}} = \frac{r_{obs,F}}{\chi_{max,F}} = \frac{N_{min}r_{h,inf}}{NH^{-1}} \approx \frac{N_{min}}{N},$$

where the index ‘F’ denotes the beginning of Friedmann expansion, r_{obs} is the radius of the part of the universe which is observable today, the index ‘inf’ denotes the beginning of inflation, N is the number of e -foldings during inflation, N_{min} is minimum N and H is Hubble constant during inflation. For inflation on GUT and Planck scale (new and chaotic) N_{min} is about 60 and 70 and N is typically about 2000 and $10^7 \div 10^{11}$ respectively. This yields $u_{II} = 0.18$ and $0.63 \div 1.12$, hence $u_{II} \ll u_I$ for inflation on the GUT scale and $u_{II} \lesssim u_I$ for inflation on the Planck scale. According to (61) the value of u in both asymptotic regimes is given by the less of u_I and u_{II} . Thus, in approximate calculations we can use $u = u_{II}$; in other words, we can ignore the tilt of the primordial spectrum and take into account only its cutoff.

The observed values of C_l must coincide with the theoretical ones within cosmic variance,

$$C_{l,obs} \in \langle 1 - \delta_l, 1 + \delta_l \rangle C_l, \quad \delta_l = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2l+1}}. \quad (62)$$

Denote the relative deviation of our C_l from $C_{l,id}$ by Δ_l . After identifying $C_{l,id}$ with $C_{l,obs}$ we find that ξ_* must assume values between -4.15 and 2.30 (a consequence of $1/(1 + \Delta_{2r}) \geq 1 - \delta_2$, if one inserts for u the value u_{II} computed for inflation on GUT scale). However, the identification fails for the quadrupole, whose observational value lies approximately at the lower limit of the interval allowed by cosmic variance, $C_{2,obs} \doteq (1 - \delta_2)C_{2,id}$. The theory with a solid can provide for that only for ξ_* between -1.85 and 0 (a consequence of $\Delta_{2r} \leq 0$).

It was suggested that the lack of power in the quadrupole is not an effect of cosmic variance, but comes from a cutoff of the primordial spectrum on the scale $s_{min} \sim 1$ [16, 17, 18]. Such cutoff can be caused either by short duration of inflation or by a jump-like variation of inflationary potential near the end of inflation. Suppose the integral in the expression (56) is cut off at $s_{min} = 2$ and write the power spectrum as $C_l = (p_l\xi_*^2 + 2q_l\xi_* + r_l)C_{l,id}$, where $C_{l,id}$ is the power spectrum in the theory with pure fluid in which no cutoff occurs. After imposing the condition that the ratio

$C_l/C_{l,id}$ equals $1 - \delta_2$ for $l = 2$ and 1 for $l > 2$ within cosmic variance, we find that the maximum ξ_* is 0.81 (a consequence of $p_2\xi_*^2 + 2q_2\xi_* + r_2 = 1$) and the minimum ξ_* is -3.21 (a consequence of $p_3\xi_*^2 + 2q_3\xi_* + r_3 = 1/(1 + \delta_3)$).

A dominant contribution to C_l comes from the part of the integration region around $s = l$. Thus, relevant values of κ for the given l are $\kappa \sim (\eta_*/\eta_0)l \doteq l/50$. On the other hand, the magnitude of the parameter ξ_* cannot exceed values $|\xi_*| \sim 1$, which corresponds to $|\xi| \sim 10^{-5}$ and $\sqrt{|\xi|} \sim 1/300$. If we take into account that the CMB anisotropies can be viewed as large-scale at most up to $l \sim 100$, we can see that the values of κ that give a substantial contribution to the CMB power spectrum for extremal values of ξ are $\kappa \sim (0.1 \div 5)\sqrt{|\xi|}$.

The ratio $C_l/C_{l,id}$ as a function of l , computed for extremal ξ_* in the theory with cutoff at $s_{min} \sim 1$, is depicted in fig. 2. The full and dashed lines represent theoretical and observational




Fig. 2: Large-scale power spectrum, computed for extremal values of shear modulus consistent with the observed spectrum

values respectively, the shaded strips represent cosmic variance and the numbers are the values of ξ . On the left panel, the quadrupole is located on the borderline of the region allowed by cosmic variance, so that in the theory with solid the cutoff serves to make positive shear modulus consistent with observations rather than explain the lack of power in the quadrupole.

4 Conclusion

We have calculated the large-scale part of the CMB power spectrum, the Sachs-Wolfe plateau, in a universe containing radiation-like solid with constant shear modulus to energy ratio ξ . For that purpose, we had to extend the theory developed in [13] to the case when there is also nonrelativistic matter in the universe. It turned out that in case $|\xi| \ll 1$ the theory of long-wavelength

perturbations, which was formulated for the parameter $\kappa \approx k\eta_{eq}$ much less than $\sqrt{|\xi|}$, holds also in the opposite limit. If we sought exact formulas covering both asymptotic regions of κ but still restricted to $\kappa \ll 1$, the theory would become quite complicated. (In the expression (27) for $\mathcal{E}_r^{(0)}$ we would have to replace ξ by $\xi + \kappa^2 X^2 \hat{X}_+ / (36 X_+)$, which would yield an equation for $F_r^{(0)}$ that cannot be solved exactly.) Instead, we have used the original theory to interpolate between the two regimes, which allowed us to consider values of κ from the intermediate region, $\kappa \sim (0.1 \div 5)\sqrt{|\xi|}$, and confirm the conclusion of [13] that in order to accommodate observations, the parameter ξ must satisfy $|\xi| \lesssim 10^{-5}$. We have restricted ourselves to the Sachs-Wolfe plateau and did not investigate the position and width of acoustic peaks. Obviously, for such small $|\xi|$ they would look practically the same as in a universe filled with pure fluid. Of course, $|\xi|$ could be greater if the effect of the solid was compensated by the tilt of the primordial spectrum, but to assume such canceling of two independent effects does not seem reasonable.

References

- [1] N. Bucher, D. Spergel, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 043505 (1999).
- [2] R. A. Battye, N. Bucher, D. Spergel, astro-ph/9908047.
- [3] A. Leite, C. Martins, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 103523 (2011).
- [4] R. A. Battye, A. Moss, Phys. Rev. **D74** 041301 (2006).
- [5] R. A. Battye, A. Moss, Phys. Rev. **D80** 023531 (2009).
- [6] R. A. Battye, A. Moss, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 023005 (2007).
- [7] R. A. Battye, J. A. Pearson, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 084004 (2013).
- [8] S. Kumar, A. Nautiyal, A. A. Sen, Eur. Phys. J. **C73**, 2562 (2013).
- [9] A. Gruzinov, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 063518 (2004).
- [10] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, J. Wang, arXiv:1210.0569 [hep-th].
- [11] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso and A. Ricciardone, arXiv:1306.4160 [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] M. Sitwell, K. Sigurdson, arXiv:1306.5762 [astro-ph.CO].
- [13] V. Balek, M. Škovran, arXiv:1401.7004 [gr-qc].
- [14] V. Polák, V. Balek, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 045007 (2008).
- [15] V. Mukhanov: *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*, CUP, Cambridge (2005).
- [16] S. L. Bridle, A. M. Lewis, J. Weller, G. Efstathiou, MNRAS **342**, L72 (2003).
- [17] C. R. Contaldi, M. Peloso, L. Kofman and A. D. Linde, JCAP 0307:002 (2003).
- [18] J. M. Cline, P. Crotty, and J. Lesgourgues, JCAP 0309:010 (2003).